

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS IN ENERGY SPACE FOR THE CHERN-SIMONS-HIGGS SYSTEM IN TEMPORAL GAUGE

HARTMUT PECHER

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN
BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL
GAUSSSTR. 20
42119 WUPPERTAL
GERMANY
E-MAIL PECHER@MATH.UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE

ABSTRACT. The Cauchy problem for the Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the (2+1)-dimensional Minkowski space in temporal gauge is globally well-posed in energy space improving a result of Huh. The proof uses the bilinear space-time estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg, an $L_x^6 L_t^2$ -estimate for solutions of the wave equation, and also takes advantage of a null condition.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1+2} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2$ with metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1)$:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D^\rho \phi) \quad (1)$$

$$D_\mu D^\mu \phi = -\phi V'(|\phi|^2), \quad (2)$$

with initial data

$$A_\nu(0) = a_\nu, \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0, \quad (\partial_t \phi)(0) = \phi_1, \quad (3)$$

where we use the convention that repeated upper and lower indices are summed, Greek indices run over 0,1,2 and Latin indices over 1,2. Here

$$D^\mu := \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

$F_{\mu\nu} : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the curvature, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a scalar field and $A_\nu : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the gauge potentials. We use the notation $\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}$, where we write $(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n) = (t, x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and also $\partial_0 = \partial_t$ and $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$. $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is the totally skew-symmetric tensor with $\epsilon^{012} = 1$, and the Higgs potential V is assumed to fulfill $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $V(0) = 0$ and all derivatives of V have polynomial growth.

The energy $E(t)$ of the system is conserved, where

$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^2 |D_\mu \phi(t)|^2 + V(|\phi(t)|^2) \right) dx.$$

This model was proposed by Hong, Kim and Pac [HKP] and Jackiw and Weinberg [JW] in the study of vortex solutions in the abelian Chern-Simons theory.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35Q40, 35L70

Key words and phrases: Chern-Simons-Higgs, local well-posedness, temporal gauge

The equations are invariant under the gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi, \phi \rightarrow \phi' = e^{i\chi} \phi, D_\mu \rightarrow D'_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA'_\mu.$$

The most common gauges are the Coulomb gauge $\partial^j A_j = 0$, the Lorenz gauge $\partial^\mu A_\mu = 0$ and the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$. In this paper we exclusively study the temporal gauge for finite energy data.

Global well-posedness in the Coulomb gauge was proven by Chae and Choe [CC] for data $a_\mu \in H^a$, $\phi_0 \in H^b$, $\phi_1 \in H^{b-1}$ where $(a, b) = (l, l+1)$ with $l \geq 1$, satisfying a compatibility condition and a class of Higgs potentials. Huh [H] showed local well-posedness in the Coulomb gauge for $(a, b) = (\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$ and in the Lorenz gauge for $(a, b) = (\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon, \frac{9}{8} + \epsilon)$ or $(a, b) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$, and in the temporal gauge for $(a, b) = (l, l)$ with $l \geq \frac{3}{2}$.

He also showed global well-posedness in the temporal gauge for data $\phi_0 \in H^2$, $\phi_1 \in H^1$, $a_i^{df} \in H^1$, $a_i^{cf} \in H^2$, where a^{df} and a^{cf} denote the divergence-free and curl-free part of a .

The local well-posedness result in the Lorenz gauge was improved to $(a, b) = (l, l+1)$ and $l > \frac{1}{4}$ by Bournaveas [B] and by Yuan [Y]. Also in Lorenz gauge the important global well-posedness result in energy space, where $a_\mu \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_0 \in H^1$, $\phi_1 \in L^2$, was proven by Selberg and Tesfahun [ST] under a sign condition on the potential V , and even unconditional well-posedness could be proven by Selberg and Oliveira da Silva [SO]. In [ST] the regularity assumptions on the data could also be lowered down in Lorenz gauge to $(a, b) = (l, l + \frac{1}{2})$ and $l > \frac{3}{8}$. This latter result was improved to $l > \frac{1}{4}$ by Huh and Oh [HO]. Global well-posedness in energy space and local well-posedness for $a_\mu \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_0 \in H^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$, $\phi_1 \in H^{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \geq l > \frac{1}{4}$ in Coulomb gauge was recently obtained by Oh [O]. For all these results up to the paper by Chae and Choe [CC] and Oh [O] it was crucial to make use of a null condition in the nonlinearity of the system.

A low regularity local well-posedness result in the temporal gauge for the Yang-Mills equations was given by Tao [T1].

In this paper we consider exclusively the temporal gauge. We show local well-posedness in energy space and above for potentials V of polynomial growth, more precisely for data $\phi_0 \in H^1$, $\phi_1 \in L^2$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($\epsilon > 0$ small), under the compatibility assumption $\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1 = 2Im(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1)$. If V satisfies the sign condition $V(r) \geq -\alpha^2 r \forall r \geq 0$, where $\alpha > 0$, this solution exists globally in time.

Thus we directly show global well-posedness for finite energy data in temporal gauge, which was known before in the case of the Coulomb and the Lorenz gauge.

We use a contraction argument in $X^{s,b}$ -type spaces adapted to the phase functions $\tau \pm |\xi|$ on one hand and to the phase function τ on the other hand. We also take advantage of a null condition which appears in the nonlinearity. Most of the crucial arguments follow from the bilinear estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces established by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg [AFS], which rely on the Strichartz estimates. Moreover we use an estimate for the $L_x^6 L_t^2$ -norm for the solution of the wave equation which goes back to Tataru [KMBT] and Tao [T1]. When applying this estimate we partly follow Tao's arguments in the case of the Yang-Mills equations. For the global existence part, which of course relies on energy conservation, we adapt the proof of Selberg-Tesfahun [ST] for the Lorenz gauge to the temporal gauge.

We denote the Fourier transform with respect to space and time by $\hat{\cdot}$. The operator $|\nabla|^\alpha$ is defined by $(|\nabla|^\alpha f)(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform, and similarly $\langle \nabla \rangle^\alpha$. The inhomogeneous and homogeneous Sobolev spaces are denoted by $H^{s,p}$ and $\dot{H}^{s,p}$, respectively. For $p = 2$ we simply denote them by H^s and $\dot{H}^s$. We repeatedly use the Sobolev embeddings $\dot{H}^{s,p} \subset L^q$ for $1 < p \leq q < \infty$.

and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{s}{2}$, and also $\dot{H}^{1+} \cap \dot{H}^{1-} \subset L^\infty$ in two space dimensions.
 $a+ := a + \epsilon$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, so that $a < a+ < a++$, and similarly
 $a-- < a- < a$, and $\langle \cdot \rangle := (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We now formulate our main results and begin by defining the standard spaces $X_\pm^{s,b}$ of Bourgain-Klainerman-Machedon type belonging to the half waves as the completion of the Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \pm |\xi| \rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^2}.$$

Similarly we define the wave-Sobolev spaces $X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,b}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,b}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle |\tau| - |\xi| \rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^2}$$

and also $X_{\tau=0}^{s,b}$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{s,b}} = \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \tau \rangle^b \widehat{u}(\tau, \xi)\|_{L_{\tau\xi}^2}.$$

We also define $X_\pm^{s,b}[0, T]$ as the space of the restrictions of functions in $X_\pm^{s,b}$ to $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and similarly $X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,b}[0, T]$ and $X_{\tau=0}^{s,b}[0, T]$. We frequently use the estimates $\|u\|_{X_\pm^{s,b}} \leq \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s,b}}$ for $b \leq 0$ and the reverse estimate for $b \geq 0$.

Our main theorems read as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small, and $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $V(0) = 0$, and all derivatives have polynomial growth. The Chern-Simons-Higgs system (1),(2),(3) in temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ with data $\phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying the compatibility condition $\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1 = 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1)$ has a unique local solution*

$$\phi \in C^0([0, T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^1([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad |\nabla|^\epsilon A \in C^0([0, T], H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

More precisely, T only depends on the data norm

$$\|\phi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\phi_1\|_{L^2} + \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf}(0) \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

and $\phi = \phi_+ + \phi_-$ with $\phi_\pm \in X_\pm^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}[0, T]$. If $A = A^{df} + A^{cf} = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \text{div} A + (-\Delta)^{-1} \text{curl curl} A$ is the decomposition into its divergence-free and its curl-free part, one has $\nabla A^{cf} \in X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}[0, T]$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf} \in C^0([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$, $\nabla A^{df} \in X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}[0, T]$, $|\nabla|^\epsilon A^{df} \in C^0([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$, and in these spaces uniqueness holds. Moreover one has $\nabla A^{df} \in X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\delta, \frac{1}{2}+\delta-}[0, T]$ for $0 < \delta < \epsilon$, and higher regularity persists. In particular the solution is smooth, if the data are smooth.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume in addition that $V(r) \geq \alpha^2 r$ for all $r \geq 0$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then the solution of Theorem 1.1 exists globally in time.*

Remark: 1. Under our assumptions on the data the energy $E(0)$ is finite. We namely have $\|D_j \phi(0)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_j \phi(0)\|_{L^2} + \|a_j \phi_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\phi_0\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|\phi_0\|_{H^1} < \infty$ and $V(|\phi|^2) \in L^1$, because $H^1 \subset L^p$ for all $2 \leq p < \infty$.
 2. Persistence of higher regularity is a standard fact for solutions constructed by a Picard iteration, so we omit its proof.

2. REFORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

In the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ the Chern-Simons-Higgs system (1),(2) is equivalent to the following system

$$\partial_t A_j = 2\epsilon_{ij} \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D^i \phi) \quad (4)$$

$$\partial_t^2 \phi - D^j D_j \phi = -\phi V'(|\phi|^2) \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \iff \square \phi &= 2iA^j \partial_j \phi - i\partial_j A^j \phi + A^j A_j \phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2) \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 &= 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$, $\epsilon_{12} = 1$, $\epsilon_{21} = -1$ and $\square = \partial_t^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2$.

We remark that (6) is fulfilled for any solution of (4),(5), if it holds initially, i.e., if the following compatibility condition holds, which we assume from now on:

$$\partial_1 A_2(0) - \partial_2 A_1(0) = 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi}(0)(\partial_t \phi)(0)). \quad (7)$$

Indeed, we have by (4) and (5):

$$\partial_t(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1) = 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi}(D_1^2 \phi + D_2^2 \phi)) = 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t^2 \phi) = 2\partial_t \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi).$$

Thus we only have to solve (4) and (5), and can assume that (6) is fulfilled. We make the standard decomposition of $A = (A_1, A_2)$ into its divergence-free part A^{df} and its curl-free part A^{cf} , namely $A = A^{df} + A^{cf}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A^{df} &= (-\Delta)^{-1}(\partial_1 \partial_2 A_2 - \partial_2^2 A_1, \partial_1 \partial_2 A_1 - \partial_1^2 A_2) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \text{curl curl} A, \\ A^{cf} &= -(-\Delta)^{-1}(\partial_1 \partial_2 A_2 + \partial_1^2 A_1, \partial_1 \partial_2 A_1 + \partial_2^2 A_2) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \text{div} A. \end{aligned}$$

Let B be defined by $A_1^{df} = -\partial_2 B$, $A_2^{df} = \partial_1 B$. Then by (6) and $\partial_1 A_2^{cf} - \partial_2 A_1^{cf} = 0$ we obtain

$$\Delta B = \partial_1 A_2^{df} - \partial_2 A_1^{df} = 2\text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi),$$

so that

$$A_1^{df} = -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi), \quad A_2^{df} = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi). \quad (8)$$

Next we calculate $\partial_t A^{cf}$ for solutions (A, ϕ) of (4),(5):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_1^{cf} &= \Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (\partial_2 \partial_t A_2 + \partial_1 \partial_t A_1) \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (\partial_2 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi) - \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi)) \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\partial_2 \bar{\phi} D_1 \phi + \bar{\phi} \partial_2 D_1 \phi - \partial_1 \bar{\phi} D_2 \phi - \bar{\phi} \partial_1 D_2 \phi) \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}[\partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi - \partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi - iA_1 \partial_2 \bar{\phi} \phi + iA_2 \partial_1 \bar{\phi} \phi \\ &\quad + \bar{\phi}(-iA_1 \partial_2 \phi + iA_2 \partial_1 \phi - i\partial_2 A_1 \phi + i\partial_1 A_2 \phi)] \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}[\partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi - \partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi + i\bar{\phi}(A_2 \partial_1 \phi - A_1 \partial_2 \phi) \\ &\quad + i\phi(A_2 \partial_1 \bar{\phi} - A_1 \partial_2 \bar{\phi}) + i(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1)|\phi|^2] \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi - \partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi) + 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (A_2 \partial_1 |\phi|^2 - A_1 \partial_2 |\phi|^2) \\ &\quad + 4\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_2^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi - \partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi) + 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 (A_1 \partial_2 |\phi|^2 - A_2 \partial_1 |\phi|^2) \\ &\quad + 4\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Moreover from (5) we obtain using $\partial^j A_j^{df} = 0$:

$$\square \phi = 2iA^{cf} \nabla \phi + 2iA^{df} \nabla \phi - i\partial^j A_j^{cf} \phi + (A^{df,j} + A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df} + A_j^{cf})\phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2). \quad (11)$$

We also obtain from (8) and (5)

$$\partial_t A_2^{df} = 2\partial_t \Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t^2 \phi) = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D^j D_j \phi).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D^j D_j \phi) &= \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial^j \partial_j \phi - 2i\bar{\phi} A_j \partial^j \phi - i\bar{\phi} \partial^j A_j \phi) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial^j \partial_j \phi - i\bar{\phi} A_j \partial^j \phi - iA_j \phi \partial^j \bar{\phi} - i\bar{\phi} \partial^j A_j \phi) \\ &= \partial^j \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_j \phi), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\partial_t A_2^{df} = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \partial^j \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_j \phi). \quad (12)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\partial_t A_1^{df} = -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \partial^j \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_j \phi). \quad (13)$$

Reversely defining $A := A^{df} + A^{cf}$ we show that our new system (8),(9),(10),(11) implies (4),(5) and also (6), provided the compatability condition (7) is fulfilled. (5) is obvious. (6) is fulfilled because by use of (9) and (10) one easily checks $\partial_1 A_2^{cf} - \partial_2 A_1^{cf} = 0$, so that by (8)

$$\partial_t(\partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1) = \partial_t(\partial_1 A_2^{df} - \partial_2 A_1^{df}) = \partial_t \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi).$$

Thus (6) is fulfilled, if (7) holds. Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_1 &= \partial_t A_1^{cf} + \partial_t A_1^{df} \\ &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (\partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi) - \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi)) - 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 (\partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi) + \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi)) \\ &= -2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (9) and also (13), which was shown to be a consequence of (8) and (11). Similarly we also get

$$\partial_t A_2 = 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi),$$

so that (4) is shown to be satisfied.

Summarizing we have shown that (4),(5),(6) are equivalent to (8),(9),(10),(11) (which also implies (12),(13)).

Concerning the initial conditions assume we are given initial data for our system (4),(5),(6):

$$A_j(0) = a_j, \phi(0) = \phi_0, (\partial_t \phi)(0) = \phi_1$$

satisfying $|\nabla|^\epsilon a_j \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}, \phi_0 \in H^1, \phi_1 \in L^2$ and (7). Then by (8) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{df}(0) &= -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1) = -\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 (\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1) \\ A_2^{df}(0) &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1) = \Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_j^{cf}(0) = a_j - A_j^{df}(0),$$

thus

$$|\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{df}(0) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}, |\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{cf}(0) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In the sequel we construct a solution of the Cauchy problem for (8),(9),(10),(11) with data $\phi_0 \in H^1, \phi_1 \in L^2, |\nabla|^\epsilon A_j^{cf}(0) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have shown that whenever we have a local solution of this system with data ϕ_0, ϕ_1 and $A_j^{cf}(0) = a_j - A_j^{df}(0)$, where $A_1^{df}(0) = -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1), A_2^{df}(0) = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\phi}_0 \phi_1)$, we also have that (ϕ, A) with $A := A^{df} + A^{cf}$ is a local solution of (4),(5) with data $(\phi_0, \phi_1, a_1, a_2)$. If (7) holds then (6) is also satisfied.

Defining

$$\phi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\phi \pm i^{-1} \langle \nabla \rangle^{-1} \partial_t \phi) \iff \phi = \phi_+ + \phi_-, \partial_t \phi = i \langle \nabla \rangle (\phi_+ - \phi_-)$$

the equation (11) transforms to

$$(i\partial_t \pm \langle \nabla \rangle) \phi_{\pm} = \pm 2^{-1} \langle \nabla \rangle^{-1} (2iA^{cf} \nabla \phi + 2iA^{df} \nabla \phi - i\partial^j A_j^{cf} \phi + (A^{df,j} + A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df} + A_j^{cf}) \phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2) + \phi) \quad (14)$$

Fundamental for the proof of our theorem are the following bilinear estimates in wave-Sobolev spaces which were proven by d'Ancona, Foschi and Selberg in the two-dimensional case $n = 2$ in [AFS] in a more general form which include many limit cases which we do not need.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = 2$. The estimate*

$$\|uv\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-s_0, -b_0}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s_1, b_1}} \|v\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{s_2, b_2}}$$

holds, provided the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 + b_1 + b_2 &> \frac{1}{2} \\ b_0 + b_1 &\geq 0 \\ b_0 + b_2 &\geq 0 \\ b_1 + b_2 &\geq 0 \\ s_0 + s_1 + s_2 &> \frac{3}{2} - (b_0 + b_1 + b_2) \\ s_0 + s_1 + s_2 &> 1 - \min(b_0 + b_1, b_0 + b_2, b_1 + b_2) \\ s_0 + s_1 + s_2 &> \frac{1}{2} - \min(b_0, b_1, b_2) \\ s_0 + s_1 + s_2 &> \frac{3}{4} \\ (s_0 + b_0) + 2s_1 + 2s_2 &> 1 \\ 2s_0 + (s_1 + b_1) + 2s_2 &> 1 \\ 2s_0 + 2s_1 + (s_2 + b_2) &> 1 \\ s_1 + s_2 &\geq \max(0, -b_0) \\ s_0 + s_2 &\geq \max(0, -b_1) \\ s_0 + s_1 &\geq \max(0, -b_2). \end{aligned}$$

We also need the following

Proposition 2.1. *The following estimates hold*

$$\|u\|_{L_{xt}^6} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^6 L_t^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}+}}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. (15) is the original Strichartz estimate [Str] combined with the transfer principle. (16) goes back to [KMBT], Thm. 3.2:

$$\|\mathcal{F}_t u\|_{L_\tau^2 L_x^6} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{6}}},$$

if $u = e^{it|\nabla|} u_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_t$ denotes the Fourier transform with respect to time. By Plancherel and Minkowski's inequality we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_x^6 L_t^2} = \|\mathcal{F}_t u\|_{L_x^6 L_\tau^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}_t u\|_{L_\tau^2 L_x^6} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{6}}}.$$

The transfer principle gives (16). $\square$

The following easy consequences are obtained by interpolation between (15), (16) and the trivial identity

$$\|u\|_{L_{xt}^2} = \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0,0}} : \quad (17)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^6 L_t^{2+}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{6}+, \frac{1}{2}+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (15) and (16)}), \quad (18)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^4} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (15) and (17)}), \quad (19)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+, \frac{3}{8}+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (18) and (17)}), \quad (20)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^{4+} L_t^{2+}} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+, \frac{3}{8}+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (18) and (17)}), \quad (21)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^3 L_t^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (16) and (17)}), \quad (22)$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}} L_t^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\epsilon+}} \quad (\text{interpolate (16) and (17)}). \quad (23)$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Taking the considerations of the previous section into account Theorem 1.1 reduces to the following proposition and its corollary.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. The system*

$$(i\partial_t \pm \langle \nabla \rangle) \phi_{\pm} = \pm 2^{-1} \langle \nabla \rangle^{-1} (2iA^{cf} \nabla \phi + 2iA^{df} \nabla \phi - i\partial^j A_j^{cf} \phi \quad (24)$$

$$+ (A^{df,j} + A^{cf,j})(A_j^{df} + A_j^{cf})\phi - \phi V'(|\phi|^2) + \phi)$$

$$A_1^{df} = -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) \quad , \quad A_2^{df} = 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_1^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi - \partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi) + 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 (A_2 \partial_1 |\phi|^2 - A_1 \partial_2 |\phi|^2) \\ &\quad + 4\Delta^{-1} \partial_1 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_2^{cf} &= 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\partial_1 \bar{\phi} \partial_2 \phi - \partial_2 \bar{\phi} \partial_1 \phi) + 2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 (A_1 \partial_2 |\phi|^2 - A_2 \partial_1 |\phi|^2) \\ &\quad + 4\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

with data $\phi_{\pm}(0) \in H^1$ and $|\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{cf}(0) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has a unique local solution

$$\phi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}[0, T], \quad \nabla A^{cf} \in X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}[0, T], \quad |\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{cf} \in C^0([0, T], L^2).$$

Here $\phi = \phi_+ + \phi_-$, $\partial_t \phi = i\langle \nabla \rangle(\phi_+ - \phi_-)$. Moreover A^{df} satisfies $\nabla A^{df} \in X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}[0, T]$, $|\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{df} \in C^0([0, T], L^2)$ and also $\nabla A^{df} \in X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\delta, \frac{1}{2}+\delta-}[0, T]$ for $0 < \delta < \epsilon$.

We obtain immediately

Corollary 3.1. *The solution has the property $\phi \in C^0([0, T], H^1) \cap C^1([0, T], L^2)$, $|\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{cf} \in C^0([0, T], H^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $|\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{df} \in C^0([0, T], H^{1-\epsilon-})$.*

Proof. We want to apply the contraction mapping principle for

$$\phi_{\pm} \in X_{\pm}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}[0, T], \quad \nabla A^{cf} \in X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}[0, T], \quad |\nabla|^{\epsilon} A^{cf} \in C^0([0, T], L^2).$$

By well-known arguments this is reduced to the estimates of the right hand sides of (24), (26) and (27) stated as claims 1-9 below. We start to control ∇A^{cf} in $X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}$.

Claim 1:

$$\|\partial_i \bar{\phi} \partial_j \phi - \partial_j \bar{\phi} \partial_i \phi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}^2.$$

Let $\pm_1$ and $\pm_2$ denote independent signs. Using

$$\partial_i \bar{\phi} \partial_j \phi - \partial_j \bar{\phi} \partial_i \phi = \sum_{\pm_1, \pm_2} (\partial_i \bar{\phi}_{\pm_1} \partial_j \phi_{\pm_2} - \partial_j \bar{\phi}_{\pm_1} \partial_i \phi_{\pm_2})$$

it suffices to show

$$\|\partial_i \bar{\phi} \partial_j \psi - \partial_j \bar{\phi} \partial_i \psi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2}+}} \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{\pm_1}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{X_{\pm_2}^{s, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}.$$

We now use the null structure of this term in the form that for vectors $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2)$, $\eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the following estimate holds

$$|\xi^i \eta^j - \xi^j \eta^i| \leq |\xi| |\eta| \angle(\xi, \eta),$$

where $\angle(\xi, \eta)$ denotes the angle between ξ and η . The following lemma gives the decisive bound for the angle:

Lemma 3.1. ([S], Lemma 2.1 or [ST], Lemma 3.2)

$$\angle(\pm_1 \xi_1, \pm_2 \xi_2) \lesssim \left(\frac{\langle \tau_1 \pm_1 |\xi_1| \rangle + \langle \tau_2 \pm_2 |\xi_2| \rangle}{\min(\langle \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \xi_2 \rangle)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3| \rangle}{\min(\langle \xi_1 \rangle, \langle \xi_2 \rangle)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \quad (28)$$

$\forall \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ and $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$.

Thus the claimed estimate reduces to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle \tau_1 \pm_1 |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \tau_2 \pm_2 |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \angle(\pm_1 \xi_1, \pm_2 \xi_2) \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}, \quad (29)$$

where $*$ denotes integration over $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3$ with $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 = 0$ and $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$. We assume without loss of generality that $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$ and the Fourier transforms are nonnegative. We distinguish three cases according to which of the terms on the right hand side of (28) is dominant.

Case 1: The last term in (28) dominant. In this case (29) reduces to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}.$$

1.1: $|\tau_3| \geq \frac{|\xi_3|}{2}$. In this case (29) reduces to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{1-\epsilon-}} \langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

which follows from Theorem 2.1.

1.2: $|\tau_3| \leq \frac{|\xi_3|}{2} \Rightarrow \langle |\tau_3| - |\xi_3| \rangle \sim \langle \xi_3 \rangle$.

1.2.1: $|\tau_2| \ll |\xi_2|$. We have to show

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{|\xi_2|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}.$$

But by (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_2\|_{L_x^4 L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 L_t^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{8}+}} \|v_2\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 H_t^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-, \frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-, 0}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

1.2.2: $|\tau_2| \gtrsim |\xi_2|$. In this case we use $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$ to estimate

$$1 \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

The second term on the right hand side is taken care of by

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{|\tau_3|^{\frac{1}{4}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{x_t}^2}.$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^4} \|v_2\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 L_t^{4-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{8}+}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{0, \frac{1}{4}-}},
\end{aligned}$$

which holds by (19) and (20).

For the first term we consider two subcases.

$$1.2.2.1: |\tau_1| \lesssim |\xi_1| \Rightarrow \frac{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \frac{\langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

We have to show

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{x_t}^2}.$$

This follows from (20) which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_2\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 L_t^{4-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{8}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{3}{8}+}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{0, \frac{1}{4}-}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$1.2.2.2: |\tau_1| \gg |\xi_1| \Rightarrow \frac{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sim \frac{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Thus we need

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{x_t}^2}.$$

But we have by (22) and (18)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^3 L_t^2} \|v_2\|_{L_x^6 L_t^{2+}} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 L_t^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{2}+}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired estimate.

Case 2: The first term in (28) is dominant (and $|\xi_1| \leq |\xi_2|$).

We have to show

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}.$$

2.1: $|\tau_2| \ll |\xi_2| \Rightarrow \langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle \sim \langle \xi_2 \rangle$.

The last estimate is reduced to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle \tau_2 \rangle^{0+} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

which follows by Sobolev's embedding from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^2} \|v_2\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_3\|_{L_x^2 L_t^{\infty-}} \\ &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0}} \|v_2\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0+}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2: $|\tau_2| \geq |\xi_2|$.

2.2.1: $|\tau_1| \lesssim |\xi_1|$. We use

$$1 \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} + \frac{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}}.$$

If the first term is dominant we reduce to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{0+}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}.$$

It is a consequence of the following estimate which follows from (18) and Sobolev:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2} \|v_2\|_{L_x^6 L_t^{2+}} \|v_3\|_{L_x^3 L_t^{\infty-}} \\ &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, 0}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{6}+, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}-}}. \end{aligned}$$

If the second term is dominant we need

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

which follows from Theorem 2.1.

2.2.2: $|\tau_1| \gg |\xi_1| \Rightarrow \langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle \sim \langle \tau_1 \rangle$.

We use

$$1 \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \lesssim \frac{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

The first term reduces to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\frac{3}{4}\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-} \langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2}.$$

We estimate using (23) and Sobolev:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{2+}} \|v_2\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}} L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{1+2\epsilon}} L_t^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_t^{0+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{6}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\epsilon+}} \|v_3\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} H_t^{\frac{1}{2}-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}, 0+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{6}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\epsilon-}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}-}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired bound.

If the second term is dominant we have to show

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle \tau_1 \rangle^{\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4}-} \langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

which follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^4 L_t^{\frac{4}{2-\epsilon}+}} \|v_2\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}} L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{L_x^{\frac{4}{1+2\epsilon}} L_t^{\frac{4}{\epsilon}-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}} H_t^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}+}} \|v_2\|_{H_x^{\frac{4}{4}} L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{H_x^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} H_t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4}-}} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{4}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{6}{4}, 0}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{4}-}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Sobolev's embedding.

Case 3: The second term in (28) is dominant (and $|\xi_1| \leq \xi_2$).

In this case we reduce to

$$\left| \int_* \frac{\widehat{u}_1(\tau_1, \xi_1)}{\langle |\tau_1| - |\xi_1| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\widehat{u}_2(\tau_2, \xi_2)}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\epsilon-}} \frac{\widehat{u}_3(\tau_3, \xi_3)}{\langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-} \langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \right| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

which follows by Strichartz' (15) and Sobolev's estimates by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int v_1 v_2 v_3 dx dt \right| &\lesssim \|v_1\|_{L_x^6 L_t^6} \|v_2\|_{L_x^2 L_t^2} \|v_3\|_{L_x^3 L_t^3} \\
&\lesssim \|v_1\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|v_2\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0,0}} \|v_3\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{8}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 1 is now proven.

Before proceeding we estimate A_t^{df} . By Sobolev's embedding $\dot{H}^{1-\epsilon, \frac{2}{2-\epsilon}} \subset L^2$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^\epsilon A_t^{df}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\phi \partial_t \phi\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}_x^{-1+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\phi \partial_t \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and for $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\|\nabla A_t^{df}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim \|\phi \partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \tag{31}$$

by Theorem 2.1, which for $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ also implies

$$\|\nabla A_t^{df}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\delta, \frac{1}{2}+\delta-}} \lesssim \|\phi \partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\delta, \frac{1}{2}+\delta-}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}. \tag{32}$$

The cubic terms are easier to handle, because they contain one derivative less.

Claim 2:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_i \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ & \lesssim (\|\nabla A_i^{cf}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}} + \|\nabla|^\epsilon A_i^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty(L_x^2)}) \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 + \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}. \end{aligned}$$

We split $A = A^{cf} + A^{df}$, and moreover $A_i^{cf} = A_i^{cf,h} + A_i^{cf,l}$ as well as $A_i^{df} = A_i^{df,h} + A_i^{df,l}$ into their low and high frequency parts, i.e. $\text{supp } \widehat{A}_i^{cf,h} \subset \{|\xi| \geq 1\}$, $\text{supp } \widehat{A}_i^{cf,l} \subset \{|\xi| \leq 1\}$ and similarly A_i^{df} .

For the high frequency parts we obtain by (15) and Sobolev

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_i^{cf,h} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|A_i^{cf,h} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4}{3}+\epsilon'}} \\ & \lesssim \|A_i^{cf,h}\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6} \|\phi\|_{L_t^3 L_x^{12+\epsilon''}} \|\partial_j \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|A_i^{cf,h}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla A_i^{cf}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and using also (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_i^{df,h} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|A_i^{df,h}\|_{L_t^6 L_x^6} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla A_i^{df,h}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}. \end{aligned}$$

The low frequency part is taken care of as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_i^{cf,l} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|A_i^{cf,l} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4}{3}+\epsilon'}} \\ & \lesssim \|A_i^{cf,l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^8} \|\phi\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{8+\epsilon''}} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^4 L_x^2} \lesssim \|\nabla|^\epsilon A_i^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain by (30):

$$\|A_i^{df,l} \partial_j (|\phi|^2)\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\nabla|^\epsilon A_i^{df}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Claim 3:

$$\|Im(\overline{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

This follows from

$$\|Im(\overline{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|Im(\overline{\phi} \partial_t \phi) |\phi|^2\|_{L_t^2 L_x^{\frac{4}{3}+\epsilon'}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{12+\epsilon''}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}.$$

In order to control $\|A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty([0,T], L_x^2)}$ in the fixed point argument we only have to consider the low frequency part of A^{cf} , because the high frequency part is controlled by $\|\nabla A^{cf,h}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}}$. Denote the projection onto the low frequency

part of A_i^{cf} by P .

Claim 4 (a)

$$\int_0^T \|P(\nabla \phi \nabla \phi)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{-1+\epsilon}} dt \lesssim \int_0^T \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 dt \lesssim T \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2$$

by Sobolev's embedding $\dot{H}_x^{1+\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}_x^{1-\epsilon} \subset L_x^\infty$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int P(\nabla \phi \nabla \phi) w dx \right| = \left| \int \nabla \phi \nabla \phi P w dx \right| \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 \|P w\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 (\|\nabla|^{1-\epsilon} P w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla|^{1+\epsilon} P w\|_{L^2}) \lesssim \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_x^2}^2 \|\nabla|^{1-\epsilon} w\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|A^{df} \nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{-1+\epsilon}} dt &\lesssim \int_0^T \|A^{df} \nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}} dt \\
&\lesssim \int_0^T \|A^{df}\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}} + \|\phi\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_x^2} dt \lesssim T \|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon+} A^{df}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim T \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}
\end{aligned}$$

by (30).

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|A^{cf} \nabla(|\phi|^2)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{-1+\epsilon}} dt &\lesssim T \|A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}} + \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim T \|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon+} A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 \\
&\lesssim T (\|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon} A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla A^{cf}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}}) \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \|\phi(\partial_t \phi)|\phi|^2\|_{\dot{H}_x^{-1+\epsilon}} dt &\lesssim \int_0^T \|\phi(\partial_t \phi)|\phi|^2\|_{L_x^{\frac{2}{2-\epsilon}}} dt \\
&\lesssim T \|\phi\|_{L_x^\infty H_x^1}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim T \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}^3 \|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next in order to estimate $\|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}$ and $\|\partial_t \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}$ we have to control the right hand side of (14).

Claim 5:

$$\|A^{df} \nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \lesssim (\|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon} A^{df}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\nabla A^{df}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-}}) \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}^3.$$

The last estimate follows from (30) and (31). For the first estimate we consider the low and high frequency parts of A^{df} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A^{df, l} \nabla \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A^{df, l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla|\phi|^{1-} A^{df, l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon} A^{df}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}, \\
\|A^{df, h} \nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} &\lesssim \|A^{df, h}\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}-}} \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows by Theorem 2.1.

Claim 6:

$$\|A^{cf} \nabla \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim (\|\nabla A^{cf}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{1}{2}+}} + \|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon} A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}) \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}},$$

where we split A^{cf} into its low and high frequency parts A_l^{cf} and A_h^{cf} . The low frequency part is easily estimated as follows:

$$\|A^{cf, l} \nabla \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|A^{cf, l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \|\nabla \phi\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \|\nabla|\phi|^{\epsilon} A^{cf, l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}}.$$

For the high frequency part we want to show:

$$\|A^{cf, h} \nabla \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|A^{cf, h}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}+, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|\nabla \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

This estimate would follow if we prove

$$\int_* m(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \widehat{u}_1(\xi_1, \tau_1) \widehat{u}_2(\xi_2, \tau_2) \widehat{u}_3(\xi_3, \tau_3) d\xi d\tau \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{xt}^2},$$

where

$$m = \frac{1}{\langle |\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} \langle \tau_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

The following argument is closely related to the proof of a similar estimate in [T1]. By two applications of the averaging principle ([T], Prop. 5.1) we may replace m by

$$m' = \frac{\chi_{|\tau_2| - |\xi_2| \sim 1} \chi_{|\tau_3| \sim 1}}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Let now τ_2 be restricted to the region $\tau_2 = T + O(1)$ for some integer T . Then τ_1 is restricted to $\tau_1 = -T + O(1)$, because $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0$, and ξ_2 is restricted to $|\xi_2| = |T| + O(1)$. The τ_1 -regions are essentially disjoint for $T \in \mathbb{Z}$ and similarly the τ_2 -regions. Thus by Schur's test ([T], Lemma 3.11) we only have to show

$$\sup_{T \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_* \frac{\chi_{\tau_1 = -T + O(1)} \chi_{\tau_2 = T + O(1)} \chi_{|\tau_3| \sim 1} \chi_{|\xi_2| = |T| + O(1)}}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \cdot \widehat{u}_1(\xi_1, \tau_1) \widehat{u}_2(\xi_2, \tau_2) \widehat{u}_3(\xi_3, \tau_3) d\xi d\tau \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|u_i\|_{L_{x_t}^2}.$$

The τ -behaviour of the integral is now trivial, thus we reduce to

$$\sup_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\sum_{i=1}^3 \xi_i = 0} \frac{\chi_{|\xi_2| = |T| + O(1)}}{\langle \xi_3 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \widehat{f}_2(\xi_2) \widehat{f}_3(\xi_3) d\xi \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|f_i\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (33)$$

It only remains to consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $|\xi_1| \sim |\xi_3| \gtrsim T$. We obtain in this case

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. \text{ of } (33) &\lesssim \sup_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \|f_1\|_{L^2} \|f_3\|_{L^2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{|\xi| = T + O(1)} \widehat{f}_2)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \|f_1\|_{L^2} \|f_3\|_{L^2} \|\chi_{|\xi| = T + O(1)} \widehat{f}_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}+}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \|f_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|f_i\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $|\xi_1| \sim T \gtrsim |\xi_3|$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$L.H.S. \text{ of } (33) \lesssim \sup_{T \in \mathbb{N}} \|\chi_{|\xi| = T + O(1)} * \langle \xi \rangle^{-1-} \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \|f_i\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^3 \|f_i\|_{L_x^2},$$

so that the desired estimate follows.

Claim 7:

$$\|\nabla A^{cf} \phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, -\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon-}} \lesssim \|\nabla A^{cf}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon--}}.$$

By duality this is equivalent to

$$\|w\phi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim \|w\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon--}}.$$

We use the estimate $\frac{\langle \xi \rangle}{\langle \tau \rangle} \lesssim \langle |\xi| - |\tau| \rangle$ and obtain

$$\|w\phi\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon, -\frac{1}{2}-}} \lesssim \|w\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|w\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{0, \frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+\epsilon--}},$$

where the last estimate follows from Theorem 2.1 with $s_0 = 0$, $b_0 = -\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$, $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$, $b_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon +$, $b_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon -$.

Claim 8:

$$\|A^{cf} A^{cf} \phi\|_{L_{x_t}^2} \lesssim (\|\nabla A^{cf}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}+}}^2 + \|\nabla |^\epsilon A^{cf}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2) \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Splitting $A^{cf} = A^{cf,h} + A^{cf,l}$ we first consider

$$\|A^{cf,h} A^{cf,h} \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|A^{cf,h}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{4+}}^2 \|\phi\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|A^{cf,h}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{4}}}^2 \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}.$$

Next we consider

$$\|A^{cf,l} A^{cf,l} \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|A^{cf,l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty}^2 \|\phi\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf} \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^2 \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{cf,l} A^{cf,h} \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} &\lesssim \|A^{cf,l}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^\infty} \|A^{cf,h}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{2+}} \|\phi\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A^{cf,l} \|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \|A^{cf,h}\|_{X_{\tau=0}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, \frac{1}{4}}} \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of claim 8.

If one combines similar estimates with (30) and (31) we also obtain the required bounds for $\|A^{df} A^{df} \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2}$ and $\|A^{df} A^{cf} \phi\|_{L_{xt}^2}$.

Claim 9: For a suitable $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the following estimate holds:

$$\|\phi V'(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}} (1 + \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{N, \frac{1}{2}+}}^N).$$

Using the polynomial bound of V' we obtain:

$$\|\phi V'(|\phi|^2)\|_{L_{xt}^2} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L_{xt}^2} + \|\phi\|_{L_{xt}^{2(N+1)}}^{N+1} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{1, \frac{1}{2}+}} (1 + \|\phi\|_{X_{|\tau|=|\xi|}^{N, \frac{1}{2}+}}^N).$$

Now the contraction mapping principle applies. The claimed properties of A^{df} follow immediately from (30), (31) and (32). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Proof. We follow the arguments of Selberg-Tesfahun [ST] in the case of the Lorenz gauge. Define

$$I(t) = \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \|D_j \phi(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \phi(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

The first step is to show that the local existence time in Theorem 1.1 in fact only depends on $I(0)$.

Even in the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ there is some freedom left for the choice of the gauge. We apply a gauge transformation with

$$\chi(x) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} A(0, x) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div} a(x).$$

In the new gauge we obtain $A'_0 = A_0 + \partial_t \chi = A_0 = 0$ and

$$(A')^{cf}(0) = A^{cf}(0) + (\nabla \chi)^{cf}(0) = -(-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div} A(0) + (-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla \operatorname{div} A(0) = 0.$$

By this transformation the regularity of the data and of a solution is preserved, as we now show. The same holds for its inverse obtained by replacing χ by $-\chi$. We namely have $\|\phi'\|_{L^2} = \|\phi\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\partial_t \phi'\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^2}$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_j \phi'\|_{L^2} &= \|\partial_j (e^{i\chi} \phi)\|_{L^2} \leq \|(\partial_j \chi) e^{i\chi} \phi\|_{L^2} + \|e^{i\chi} \partial_j \phi\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|A(0)\|_{L^{2+\epsilon}} \|\phi\|_{L^{\frac{4+2\epsilon}{\epsilon}}} + \|\phi\|_{H^1} \lesssim (\| |\nabla|^\epsilon a \|_{L^2} + 1) \|\phi\|_{H^1} < \infty, \\ \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A' \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \| |\nabla|^\epsilon \nabla \chi \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \| |\nabla|^\epsilon A \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \| |\nabla|^\epsilon a \|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the compatability condition is obviously preserved. An elementary computation also shows that $I(t)$ as well as $E(t)$ is preserved, because

$$\begin{aligned}\|D'_\mu \phi'\|_{L^2} &= \|(\partial_\mu - i(A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi))(e^{i\chi} \phi)\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|ie^{i\chi} \partial_\mu \chi \phi + e^{i\chi} \partial_\mu \phi - iA_\mu e^{i\chi} \phi - i\partial_\mu \chi e^{i\chi} \phi\|_{L^2} = \|D_\mu \phi\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 1.1 to the transformed problem and obtain a solution on $[0, T]$, where T depends only on $\|\phi'(0)\|_{H^1} + \|\partial_t \phi'(0)\|_{L^2}$, where we used that $(A')^{cf}(0) = 0$. We now show that this quantity is controlled by $I(0)$. Trivially we have $\|\phi'(0)\|_{L^2} = \|\phi(0)\|_{L^2}$ and $\|(\partial_t \phi')(0)\|_{L^2} = \|(\partial_t \phi)(0)\|_{L^2}$. Furthermore using $(A')^{cf}(0) = 0$ we obtain

$$\|\partial_j \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} \leq \|D'_j \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} + \|A'_j(0) \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} = \|D'_j \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} + \|(A'_j)^{df}(0) \phi'(0)\|_{L^2}. \quad (34)$$

But now we obtain by (8)

$$\begin{aligned}(A'_1)^{df}(0) &= -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\phi'(0)})(\partial_t \phi'(0)) \\ &= -2\Delta^{-1} \partial_2 \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\chi} \overline{\phi(0)} e^{i\chi})(\partial_t \phi(0)) = A_1^{df}(0)\end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and similarly $(A'_2)^{df}(0) = A_2^{df}(0)$. By the covariant Sobolev inequality (cf. [GV])

$$\|\phi'(0)\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|\phi'(0)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \|D'_j \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|\phi(0)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^2 \|D_j \phi(0)\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim I(0)$$

and similarly $\|\phi(0)\|_{L^4} \lesssim I(0)$, and thus by (34) and (35)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_j \phi'(0)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|D_j \phi(0)\|_{L^2} + \|A^{df}(0)\|_{L^4} \|\phi'(0)\|_{L^4} \\ &\lesssim I(0) + \|\nabla^{-1}(\phi(0)(\partial_t \phi)(0))\|_{L^4} I(0) \\ &\lesssim I(0)(1 + \|\phi(0)\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t \phi(0)\|_{L^2}) \\ &\lesssim I(0)(1 + \|I(0)\|_{L^2}^2).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that T only depends on $I(0)$. Finally we reverse the gauge transform to obtain the solution $(\phi(t), A(t))$ on $[0, T]$.

What we need to obtain a global solution is an a priori bound of $I(t)$ on every finite time interval. Of course we use energy conservation $E(t) = E(0)$. Under our sign assumption $V(r) \geq -\alpha^2 r \ \forall r \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^2 \|D_\mu \phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = E(t) - \int V(|\phi|^2) dx \leq |E(0)| + \alpha^2 \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (36)$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2) &= \int 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\phi(t)}(D_0 \phi)(t)) dx \\ &\leq 2\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|(D_0 \phi)(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2} (|E(0)| + \alpha^2 \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \alpha^{-1} |E(0)| + 2\alpha \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

hence by Gronwall's lemma

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq e^{2\alpha|t|} (\|\phi(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + |t| \alpha^{-1} |E(0)|). \quad (37)$$

By (36) and (37) we obtain the desired a priori control of $I(t)$, so that Theorem 1.2 is proved. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AFS] P. d’Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg: *Product estimates for wave-Sobolev spaces in $2+1$ and $1+1$ dimensions*. Contemporary Math. 526 (2010), 125-150
- [B] N. Bournaveas: *Low regularity solutions of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Lorentz gauge*. Electr. J. Diff. Equ. 2009 (2009) No. 114, 1-10
- [CC] D. Chae and K. Choe: *Global existence in the Cauchy problem of the relativistic Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*. Nonlinearity 15 (2002), 747-758
- [HKP] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P.Y. Pac: *Multivortex solutions of the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*. Phys. Rev. Letters 64 (1990), 2230-2233
- [GV] J. Ginibre and G. Velo: *The Cauchy problem for coupled Yang-Mills and scalar fields in the temporal gauge*. Comm. Math. Phys. 82 (1981), 1-28
- [H] H. Huh: *Local and global solutions of the Chern-Simons-Higgs system*. J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007), 526-549
- [HO] H. Huh and S.-J. Oh: *Low regularity solutions to the Chern-Simons-Dirac and the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Lorenz gauge*. Preprint arXiv:1209.3841
- [O] S.-J. Oh: *Finite energy global well-posedness of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations in the Coulomb gauge*. Preprint arXiv:1310.3955
- [JW] R. Jackiw and E.J. Weinberg: *Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices*. Phys. Rev. Letters 64 (1990), 2234-2237
- [KMBT] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon (Appendices by J. Bougain and D. Tataru): *Remark on Strichartz-type inequalities*. Int. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no.5, 201-220
- [S] S. Selberg: *Anisotropic bilinear L^2 estimates related to the 3D wave equation*. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2008 (2008), No. 107
- [SO] S. Selberg and D. Oliveira da Silva: *A remark on unconditional uniqueness in the Chern-Simons-Higgs model*. Diff. Int. Eqs. 28 (2015), 333 - 346
- [ST] S. Selberg and A. Tesfahun: *Global well-posedness of the Chern-Simons-Higgs equations with finite energy*. Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), 2531-254
- [Str] R.S. Strichartz: *Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations*. Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), 705-714
- [T] T. Tao: *Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 -functions and applications to non-linear dispersive equations*. Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), 838-908
- [T1] T. Tao: *Local well-posedness of the Yang-Mills equation in the temporal gauge below the energy norm*. J. Diff. Equ. 189 (2003), 366-382
- [Y] J. Yuan: *Local well-posedness of Chern-Simons-Higgs system in the Lorentz gauge*. J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), 103706